

# Association between Malnutrition and Cognitive Impairment among Morocco Older Adults

Abdeljalil Talhaoui, Youssef Aboussaleh, Ahmed O. T. Ahami, Rachid Sbaibi, Naima Agoutim

*Department of Biology, Behavioral Neuroscience and Nutritional Health Team, Laboratory of Nutrition and Health, Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Tofail University, BP 133 Kenitra 14,000, Morocco*

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** The aim of this study was to determine the association between the malnutrition and risk of cognitive impairment among Morocco older adults. **Materials and Methods:** A sample of 237 older adults aged above 60 years were recruited from three nursing homes belong to three different cities, Rabat, Kenitra, and Sidi Kacem city and from one health center in Sidi Kacem city. From them, 172 subjects (56.4% men) were included in our study for their completion. Cognitive functions were assessed by the Mini-Mental State Examination. Nutritional status, depression, and physical activity (PA) were assessed using, respectively: Mini nutritional assessment, geriatric depression scale-15, and global PA questionnaire. The binary logistic regression was performed where the cognitive function was taking as the dependent variable and all other outcomes as independent variables. **Results:** Our results shows that 69.8% of total sample were classed as having cognitive impairment while only 30.2% were normal. The binary logistic regression assessed showed that the malnutrition (odds ratio [OR] = 3.03, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.34–6.85), gender (OR = 2.22, 95% CI: 1.03–5.71), and low education (OR = 8.35, 95% CI: 1.32–52.83) were risk factors for cognitive impairment, when moderate level of PA (OR = 0.19, 95% CI: 0.06–0.54) was a protective factor compared to the limited level. **Conclusions:** Our study supported past literature that malnutrition is a risk factor of cognitive impairment. This signified that nutritional status monitoring can prevent its leading to Alzheimer's disease.

**Key words:** Cognitive impairment, cognitive function, malnutrition older adults

## INTRODUCTION

In Morocco according to Directorate of Statistics of the high commission for planning, the percentage of the population aged over 60 years was 8.1% and 9.4%, respectively, in 2004 and 2014 and will reach 23.2% of the total population, with a growing effective of 3.3% per year, from 3.2 million in 2014 to 10.1 million by 2050.<sup>[1]</sup> This rapid increase of the older population requires more studies on this category, their physical health, mental health, as well as their nutritional status, this for tow essentials objectives: Put a maximum of available data for people making decisions, study the risk factors on which we can act to ensure the elderly population aging success.

Aging is characterized by physiological and psychological changes induced by genetic factors, called intrinsic factors and environmental factors called extrinsic, on which various pathologies are added to accelerate the aging process.<sup>[2]</sup> The latter two factors (extrinsic and pathological) represent the most modifiable target on which we can act to slow down the effects of aging.

The elderly are particularly vulnerable to nutritional change deficits.<sup>[3]</sup> Around the world malnutrition has been reported to affect 3.2–17% of community living elderly people and 12.6–25.7% in nursing home.<sup>[4-8]</sup> Protein-energy malnutrition results from an imbalance between intake and body requirements. This imbalance causes tissue loss, in

### Address for correspondence:

Abdeljalil Talhaoui, Department of Biology, Behavioral Neuroscience and Nutritional Health Team, Laboratory of Nutrition and Health, Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Tofail University, BP 133 Kenitra 14,000, Morocco.  
E-mail: Abdeljalil\_Talhaoui@outlook.com

© 2018 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.

particular of muscle tissue which could worsen the prognosis of underlying diseases and increased risk of death and institutionalization.<sup>[8,9]</sup>

Aging is confirmed to be associated with a progressive of cognitive decline, which could be convert in à 8.3% of cases to Alzheimer's disease (AD) per years.<sup>[10,11]</sup> AD is a neurodegenerative disease that characterized by memory loss, cognitive and behavioral disorders with repercussions on the daily life of the patient.<sup>[12]</sup> Some causes know to lead to AD: A neurofibrillary protein called tau protein abnormally phosphorylated causes his intracellular accumulation. Another accumulation but this time extracellular is of  $\beta$ -amyloid peptide ( $A\beta$ ) at the senile plaques.<sup>[13]</sup> The formation of  $A\beta$  is generally due to mutations of PSEN1 (69%), amyloid precursor protein (APP) (13%), APP (7.5%), duplication, and rarely to mutations of PSEN2 (2%), which are responsible for an early age of onset of AD, in general before 60 years.<sup>[14,15]</sup> PS1 or PS2 genes, code for presenilin proteins that are part of gamma-secretase complex (g-secretase complex), cleaving APP at the C-terminal level from A $\beta$ .<sup>[16]</sup> The  $\epsilon 4$  allele which is associated with late sporadic AD (after 60 years), localized on chromosome 19 code for apolipoprotein E, protein involved in the transport of blood lipids and enter in link with  $A\beta$  for the formation of senile plaques.<sup>[15,17]</sup> The extracellular formation of senile plaque and intracellular accumulation causes lesions that preferentially affecting the limbic system responsible for memory loss and also the neocortex.<sup>[18]</sup>

Memory deficit or mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is one of the earliest and most pronounced symptoms of AD.<sup>[19]</sup> At this stage the population may constitute, a particularly suitable population for preventive approaches and clinical trials of drug therapies.<sup>[11]</sup> By 2050, cognitive impairment including MCI is expected to affect 115 million people in the world.<sup>[20]</sup> The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) represents the most used tool in world elaborated by on Anthony *et al.* on 1975 to assess global cognitive impairment with specificity and sensitivity 82% and 87%, respectively.<sup>[21]</sup> The MMSE is a brief test that takes a few minutes in its execution. It is composed of a series of questions grouped into seven subtests. The questions relate to orientation in time, orientation in space, immediate recall of three words, attention, delayed recall of three words, language, and constructive praxis.<sup>[22]</sup>

Many studies have been linked an association between cognitive function and nutritional status explored by mini nutritional assessment (MNA).<sup>[23,24]</sup> In a cross-sectional study performed by Mohamad *et al.*, in three long-stay elderly institutions in Beirut among 111 elderly (55 men and 56 women), showed that cognitive state of the elderly was only explained by lower nutrition status (adjusted odds ratio [ORa] = 3.03) and education (ORa = 1.72).<sup>[6]</sup> To the best of our knowledge, no one like those studies were conducted in

Morocco country. The aim of this study was the identification the link between nutritional status and cognitive state among the elderly population living in three cities (Rabat, Kenitra, and Sidi Kacem city) in North-out of Morocco.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Participants

In this cross-sectional study that was conducted between March 2017 and May 2018, all participants were recruited from three nursing home belong to three different cities Rabat, Kenitra, and Sidi Kacem city and from one health center in Sidi Kacem city. The three cities are located in North-oust of Morocco. The subjects were excluded from the study if showing one of those following criteria: (1) Age below 60 years, (2) subjects with renal insufficiency which could confounder and overestimate the body mass index, and (3) persons with acute pain that could influence their performance on MMSE test. At beginning 237 subjects were recruited for this study, but after excluding 65 subjects with missing data (65 subjects for MMSE, five subject for MNA and four subjects for physical activity [PA] missing data), 172 subjects were included in our study for their complete data.

Demographic data and medical conditions were obtained from social and medical assistance in nursing homes and by direct interview with patients visiting health center in Sidi Kacem city. Oral consent was obtained from each participant before be including in this study.

### Measurements

Nutritional status of our sample was evaluated by MNA, tool developed by Guigoz *et al.* and recommended by the European society for clinical nutrition and metabolism.<sup>[25,26]</sup> It is composed of 18 items grouped into four sections: Anthropometric assessment (weight, height, and weight loss), general assessment (living situation, number of medicine use, and mobility), dietary assessment (number of meals, food and fluid intake, and autonomy of feeding), and subjective assessment (self-perception of nutritional status and health).<sup>[5]</sup> A total score ranges from 24 to 30 reflects good nutritional status. Score between 17 and 23.5 indicates a risk of malnutrition. MNA score <17 points indicates malnutrition.<sup>[27]</sup>

### Cognitive function evaluation

Cognitive function was assessed with the MMSE. With a cutoff of 24, the elderly were classified into two categories: Normal or cognitive impairment.

### Psychological evaluation

The geriatric depression scale-15 was used to evaluate the depressive syndrome among elderly participants, with score maximal of 15 points. A score between 5 and 12 was used to indicate slight depression and score >12 for severe depression.<sup>[28]</sup>

## PA evaluation

The global PA questionnaire is one of a validated questionnaire developed by the WHO to assess PA in developing countries.<sup>[29]</sup> The questionnaire composed of 16 items, collecting information about vigorous and moderate intensity PA, covering three domains: Working (paid or unpaid), commuting (walking or cycling), and leisure times.<sup>[30]</sup> According to the norms recommended by the WHO for this tool, the participants' were classified into three levels:

### Height level

- If the person practices vigorous intensity PA on at least 3 days with an expending of 1500 MET-minutes a minimum per week or
- If the person practices seven or more days of walking, moderate- or vigorous-intensity activities with an expending a minimum of 3000 MET-minutes per week.

### Moderate level

- If the subject practices at least 20 min per day of vigorous-intensity activity 3 or more times per week or
- At least 30 min per day of moderate-intensity activity or walking 5 or more times per week or
- 5 or more days of walking, moderate- or vigorous-intensity activities expending a minimum of 600 MET-minutes per week.

### Low level

The subject considered as having low level of PA if do not meet any of above criteria.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using software SPSS version 24. Student's *t*-test was used for comparison of quantitative variables between two groups, for those follow a normal distribution, Mann–Whitney U-tests for those has no normal distribution. The Chi-square test was used to analyze the association between categorical variables. Pearson and Spearman tests were used to analyze the correlation between two quantitative variables normally and non-normally distributed, respectively.

The binary logistic regression was applied, in which cognitive status was taking as the dependent variable and others variables as independent variables.  $P < 0.05$  was considered significant for all analysis.

## RESULTS

### Baseline characteristics according to gender

The distribution of all characteristics included in this study according to gender is shown in Table 1. Compared to the men, women are more likely to be in low level of education

( $P = 0.047$ ), more likely to be Widowed ( $P < 0.001$ ), never practiced some profession ( $P < 0.001$ ), without pension ( $P = 0.048$ ), women are also more likely to have hypertension ( $P = 0.037$ ), and depression ( $P = 0.045$ ). On the other hand, men are more likely to have dental problems to use smoking. There was no significant difference for all other variables between women and men.

### Baseline characteristics according to cognitive function

Our results show that 69.8% of total sample were classed as having cognitive impairment while only 30.2% were normal Table 2. Cognitive impairment is more frequent among women than men in our simple ( $P < 0.001$ ). The subjects with cognitive impairment were more likely to have low education ( $P < 0.001$ ), to never practiced some profession ( $P = 0.015$ ), without pension ( $P = 0.048$ ), and to have less physical activities ( $P < 0.001$ ). The depression ( $P = 0.012$ ) and malnutrition ( $P = 0.02$ ), both were more frequent in subjects with confidence interval (CI) than normal.

### Multivariate analysis

The binary logistic regression assessed Table 3 showed that the malnutrition (OR = 3.03, 95% CI: 1.34–6.85), gender (OR = 2.22, 95% CI: 1.03–5.71), and low education (OR = 8.35, 95% CI: 1.32–52.83) were risk factors for cognitive impairment, when average level of PA (OR = 0.19, 95% CI: 0.06–0.54) was a protective factor compared to the limited level.

## DISCUSSION

This study was a cross-sectional study conducted among three nursing homes and one health center in three localized in the north-west of Morocco for one purpose to determine the relationship between malnutrition and cognitive function. MCI is a transitional state in which people who suffer from it have a higher risk of progression to dementia within 5 years.<sup>[31]</sup> The rat prevalence of cognitive impairment in our study was 69.8% and it's higher than other similar studies.<sup>[32,33]</sup> This higher prevalence could be explained by the higher number of individuals with low education, 85.5% against almost 68% and 40%, respectively, in the studies mentioned above. In study of Kurkcu *et al.*, among 475 patients who visited the geriatric Outpatient Department of a Dutch Hospital between 2005 and 2010, the prevalence of CI was 53.2 when the low education was found only in 31% for the total sample.<sup>[28]</sup> Saghafi-Asl M and Vaghef-Mehrabany, in their case–control, age- and gender-matched study in Tabriz (East Azarbaijan, Iran), elderly subjects aged 65 years and older were recruited from nursing homes ( $n = 76$ ) and community ( $n = 88$ ).<sup>[34]</sup> Of the total sample, 70.7% were illiterate and 76.8% had score on MMSE below 24 point. Other explanation could be given to the higher prevalence of CI in our results is that the majority of simple subjects were recruited in tow cities

**Table 1:** Baseline characteristics according to gender

|                              | Total n=172 | Females n=75 (43.6%) | Males n=97 (56.4%) | P      |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Age                          |             |                      |                    |        |
| Mean±SD                      | 67.53±7.53  | 67.56±8.36           | 67.52±6.87         | 0.588  |
| Living place                 |             |                      |                    |        |
| Free living n (%)            | 96 (55.8)   | 38 (50.7)            | 58 (59.8)          | 0.232  |
| In nursing home n (%)        | 76 (44.2)   | 37 (49.3)            | 39 (40.2)          |        |
| Education                    |             |                      |                    |        |
| Low n (%)                    | 147 (85.5)  | 69 (92.0)            | 78 (80.4)          | 0.047  |
| Intermediate n (%)           | 22 (12.8)   | 5 (6.7)              | 17 (17.5)          |        |
| High n (%)                   | 3 (1.6)     | 1 (1.3)              | 2 (2.1)            |        |
| Marital status               |             |                      |                    |        |
| Married n (%)                | 81 (47.1)   | 24 (32.0)            | 57 (58.8)          | <0.001 |
| Single n (%)                 | 38 (22.1)   | 17 (22.7)            | 21 (21.6)          |        |
| Divorced n (%)               | 17 (9.9)    | 8 (10.7)             | 9 (9.3)            |        |
| Widowed n (%)                | 36 (20.9)   | 26 (34.7)            | 10 (10.3)          |        |
| Children's number            |             |                      |                    |        |
| Mean±SD                      | 2.77±2.62   | 2.20±2.55            | 3.24±2.60          | 0.012  |
| Kind of profession           |             |                      |                    |        |
| Free n (%)                   | 104 (60.5)  | 30 (40.0)            | 74 (76.3)          | <0.001 |
| Public sector n (%)          | 13 (7.6)    | 2 (2.7)              | 11 (11.3)          |        |
| Private sector n (%)         | 14 (8.1)    | 5 (6.7)              | 9 (9.3)            |        |
| Never had a profession n (%) | 41 (23.8)   | 38 (50.7)            | 3 (3.1)            |        |
| Pension                      |             |                      |                    |        |
| Yes n (%)                    | 19 (11.1)   | 4 (5.3)              | 15 (15.5)          | 0.048  |
| No n (%)                     | 153 (88.9)  | 71 (94.7)            | 82 (84.5)          |        |
| Dental problems              |             |                      |                    |        |
| Yes n (%)                    | 90 (52.3)   | 29 (38.7)            | 61 (62.9)          | 0.002  |
| No n (%)                     | 82 (47.7)   | 46 (61.3)            | 36 (37.1)          |        |
| Smoking                      |             |                      |                    |        |
| Yes n (%)                    | 30 (17.4)   | 3 (4.0)              | 27 (27.8)          | <0.001 |
| No n (%)                     | 142 (82.6)  | 72 (96.0)            | 70 (72.2)          |        |
| Diabetes mellitus            |             |                      |                    |        |
| Yes n (%)                    | 34 (19.8)   | 17 (22.7)            | 17 (17.5)          | 0.401  |
| No n (%)                     | 138 (80.2)  | 58 (77.3)            | 80 (82.5)          |        |
| Hypertension                 |             |                      |                    |        |
| Yes n (%)                    | 63 (36.6)   | 34 (45.3)            | 29 (29.9)          | 0.037  |
| No n (%)                     | 109 (63.4)  | 41 (54.7)            | 68 (70.1)          |        |
| Osteoporosis                 |             |                      |                    |        |
| Yes n (%)                    | 25 (14.5)   | 12 (16.0)            | 13 (13.4)          | 0.632  |
| No n (%)                     | 147 (85.5)  | 63 (84.0)            | 84 (86.6)          |        |
| Anemia                       |             |                      |                    |        |
| Yes n (%)                    | 4 (2.3)     | 2 (2.7)              | 2 (2.1)            | 1.000  |
| No n (%)                     | 168 (97.7)  | 73 (97.3)            | 95 (97.9)          |        |

(Contd...)

**Table 1: (Continued)**

|                         | <b>Total n=172</b> | <b>Females n=75 (43.6%)</b> | <b>Males n=97 (56.4%)</b> | <b>P</b> |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| Cardiac diseases        |                    |                             |                           |          |
| Yes n (%)               | 35 (20.3)          | 18 (24.0)                   | 17 (17.5)                 | 0.296    |
| No n (%)                | 137 (79.7)         | 57 (76.0)                   | 80 (82.5)                 |          |
| Medications use         |                    |                             |                           |          |
| Mean±SD                 | 1.07±1.34          | 1.26±1.42                   | 0.91±1.27                 | 0.090    |
| Physical activity level |                    |                             |                           |          |
| Limited n (%)           | 65 (37.8)          | 31 (41.3)                   | 34 (35.1)                 | 0.329    |
| Moderate n (%)          | 39 (22.7)          | 13 (17.3)                   | 26 (26.8)                 |          |
| High n (%)              | 68 (39.5)          | 31 (41.3)                   | 37 (38.1)                 |          |
| Depression              |                    |                             |                           |          |
| Normal                  | 46 (26.4)          | 13 (17.3)                   | 33 (34.0)                 | 0.045    |
| Slight depression       | 108 (62.8)         | 54 (72.0)                   | 54 (55.7)                 |          |
| Severe depression       | 18 (10.5)          | 8 (10.7)                    | 10 (10.3)                 |          |
| Nutritional status      |                    |                             |                           |          |
| Normal                  | 78 (45.4)          | 28 (37.3)                   | 50 (51.5)                 | 0.102    |
| Risk of malnutrition    | 85 (49.4)          | 44 (58.7)                   | 41 (42.3)                 |          |
| Malnutrition            | 9 (5.2)            | 3 (4.0)                     | 6 (6.2)                   |          |

SD: Standard deviation

**Table 2: Baseline characteristics according to cognitive function**

|                       | <b>Total n=172</b> | <b>Normal n=52 (30.2%)</b> | <b>Cognitive impairment n=120 (69.8%)</b> | <b>P value</b> |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------|
| Gender                |                    |                            |   |                |
| Females n (%)         | 75 (44%)           | 12 (23.1%)                 | 63 (52.5%)                                | <0.001         |
| Males n (%)           | 97 (56%)           | 40 (76.9%)                 | 57 (47.5%)                                |                |
| Age                   |                    |                            |   |                |
| Mean±SD               | 67.53±7.53         | 65.87±6.45                 | 68.26±7.87                                | 0.208          |
| Living place          |                    |                            |   |                |
| Free living n (%)     | 96 (55.8%)         | 34 (65.4%)                 | 62 (51.7%)                                | 0.096          |
| In nursing home n (%) | 76 (44.2%)         | 18 (34.6%)                 | 58 (48.3%)                                |                |
| Education             |                    |                            |   |                |
| Low n (%)             | 147 (85.5%)        | 38 (73.1%)                 | 109 (90.8%)                               | <0.001         |
| Intermediate n (%)    | 22 (12.8%)         | 13 (25.0%)                 | 9 (7.5%)                                  |                |
| High n (%)            | 3 (1.6%)           | 1 (1.9%)                   | 2 (1.7%)                                  |                |
| Marital status        |                    |                            |   |                |
| Married n (%)         | 81 (47.1%)         | 32 (61.5%)                 | 49 (40.8%)                                | 0.065          |
| Single n (%)          | 38 (22.1%)         | 9 (17.3%)                  | 29 (24.2%)                                |                |
| Divorced n (%)        | 17 (9.9%)          | 5 (9.6%)                   | 12 (10.0%)                                |                |
| Widowed n (%)         | 36 (20.9%)         | 6 (11.5%)                  | 30 (25.0%)                                |                |
| Children's numbre     |                    |                            |   |                |
| Mean±SD               | 2.77±2.62          | 2.90±2.45                  | 2.72±2.70                                 | 0.575          |
| kind of profession    |                    |                            |   |                |
| Free n (%)            | 104 (60.5%)        | 35 (67.3%)                 | 69 (57.5%)                                | 0.015          |
| Public sector n (%)   | 13 (7.6%)          | 7 (13.5%)                  | 6 (5.0%)                                  |                |

(Contd...)

Table 2: (Continued)

|                              | Total n=172 | Normal n=52 (30.2%) | Cognitive impairment n=120 (69.8%) | P value |
|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| Private sector n (%)         | 14 (8.1%)   | 5 (9.6%)            | 9 (7.5%)                           |         |
| Never had a profession n (%) | 41 (23.8%)  | 5 (9.6%)            | 36 (30.0%)                         |         |
| Pension                      |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Yes n (%)                    | 19 (11.1%)  | 11 (21.2%)          | 8 (6.7%)                           | 0.005   |
| No n (%)                     | 153 (88.9%) | 41 (78.8%)          | 112 (93.3%)                        |         |
| Dental problems              |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Yes n (%)                    | 90 (52.3%)  | 22 (42.3%)          | 68 (56.7%)                         | 0.083   |
| No n (%)                     | 82 (47.7%)  | 30 (57.7%)          | 52 (43.3%)                         |         |
| Smoking                      |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Yes n (%)                    | 30 (17.4%)  | 8 (15.4%)           | 22 (18.3%)                         | 0.640   |
| No n (%)                     | 142 (82.6%) | 44 (84.6%)          | 98 (81.7%)                         |         |
| Alcohol consumption          |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Yes n (%)                    | 3 (1.7%)    | 1 (1.9%)            | 2 (1.7%)                           | 1.000   |
| No n (%)                     | 169 (98.3%) | 51 (98.1%)          | 118 (98.3%)                        |         |
| Diabetes mellitus            |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Yes n (%)                    | 34 (19.8%)  | 10 (19.2%)          | 24 (20.0%)                         | 0.907   |
| No n (%)                     | 138 (80.2%) | 42 (80.8%)          | 96 (80.0%)                         |         |
| Hypertension                 |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Yes n (%)                    | 63 (36.6%)  | 18 (34.6%)          | 45 (37.5%)                         | 0.718   |
| No n (%)                     | 109 (63.4%) | 34 (65.4%)          | 75 (62.5%)                         |         |
| Osteoporosis                 |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Yes n (%)                    | 25 (14.5%)  | 6 (11.5%)           | 19 (15.8%)                         | 0.463   |
| No n (%)                     | 147 (85.5%) | 46 (88.5%)          | 101 (84.2%)                        |         |
| Anemia                       |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Yes n (%)                    | 4 (2.3%)    | 2 (3.8%)            | 2 (1.7%)                           | 0.585   |
| No n (%)                     | 168 (97.7%) | 50 (96.2%)          | 118 (98.3%)                        |         |
| Cardiac diseases             |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Yes n (%)                    | 35 (20.3%)  | 7 (13.5%)           | 28 (23.3%)                         | 0.140   |
| No n (%)                     | 137 (79.7%) | 45 (86.5%)          | 92 (76.7%)                         |         |
| Medications use              |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Mean±SD                      | 1.07±1.34   | 1.08±1.34           | 1.06±1.35                          | 0.792   |
| Physical activity level      |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Low n (%)                    | 65 (37.8%)  | 12 (23.1%)          | 53 (44.2%)                         | <0.001  |
| Moderate n (%)               | 39 (22.7%)  | 22 (42.3%)          | 17 (14.2%)                         |         |
| High n (%)                   | 68 (39.5%)  | 18 (34.6%)          | 50 (41.7%)                         |         |
| Depression                   |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Normal                       | 46 (26.4%)  | 21 (40.4%)          | 25 (20.8%)                         | 0.012   |
| Slight depression            | 108 (62.8%) | 29 (55.8%)          | 79 (65.8%)                         |         |
| Severe depression            | 18 (10.5%)  | 2 (3.8%)            | 16 (13.3%)                         |         |
| Nutritional status           |             |                     |                                    |         |
| Normal                       | 78 (45.4%)  | 34 (65.4%)          | 44 (36.7%)                         | 0.002   |
| Risk of malnutrition         | 85 (49.4%)  | 16 (30.8%)          | 69 (57.5%)                         |         |
| Malnutrition                 | 9 (5.2%)    | 2 (3.8%)            | 7 (5.8%)                           |         |

SD: Standard deviation

**Table 3:** Binary logistic regression for subjects with cognitive impairment

|                            | ORa  | CI 95%       | P     |
|----------------------------|------|--------------|-------|
| MNA                        |      |              |       |
| Malnutrition versus normal | 3.03 | [1.34–6.85]  | 0.008 |
| Gender                     |      |              |       |
| Females versus males       | 2.22 | [1.03–5.71]  | 0.043 |
| Physical activity          |      |              |       |
| Average versus limited     | 0.19 | [0.06–0.54]  | 0.002 |
| Education                  |      |              |       |
| Limited versus hight       | 8.35 | [1.32–52.82] | 0.024 |

MNA: Mini nutritional assessment, ORa: Adjusted odds ratio

(Kenitra and Sidi Kacem city) known to have low income for their habitat. In our study, 88.9% had no pension and we found a significant association between pension and cognitive function ( $P = 0.005$ ), in fact, low income can indirectly affect cognitive function by affecting the nutritional status of a subject by limiting their capacity to buy aliments in terms of quality and quantity.

The objective of the present study was to determine the relationship between malnutrition and cognitive function. In multivariate regression analysis, the malnutrition was a risk factor for CI (OR = 3.03, 95% CI: 1.34–6.85). This result was similar to other cross-sectional study performed by Mohamad *et al.*, in three long-stay elderly institutions in Beirut among 111 elderly (55 men and 56 women), malnutrition (ORa = 3.03; 95% CI [1.41–6.53]), and low education (ORa=1.72; 95% CI [1.16–2.56]) were the only risk factors for cognitive impairment.<sup>[6]</sup> Similar other study performed by Shan *et al.*, among 580 elderly residents aged 90 years or more in China. In the multivariate model, malnutrition (OR = 4.24, 95% CI: 1.89–9.52) was the risk factor for cognitive impairment after adjustment for other confounders factors.<sup>[24]</sup>

Aging outside of the presence of pathology is often accompanied by loss of appetite caused by disturbance of taste and smell which lead to changes in eating behavior with a decrease in total energy intake and more particularly a reduction in protein and fat intake.<sup>[35,36]</sup> When lipids are essentials elements of axons myelination (78–81% of the dry weight) involved in the nerve impulses transmission.<sup>[37]</sup> Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) are rich in olive oil, nuts, avocado, and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) can be found mostly in fish and nuts. MUFA and PUFA are both important elements for brain function. Number of cross-sectional and longitudinal studies has confirmed the link between MUFA, PUFA intake, and cognitive function and that both could play a protective effect against cognitive decline.<sup>[38-41]</sup> Moreover, the brain has high energy

requirements, since this cerebral orange represents only 2% of the total body mass, consumes 20% of the oxygen and 25% of the glucose consumed by the total human body.<sup>[42]</sup>

The brain is a complex organ with high metabolism which by aging becomes more vulnerable to oxidative stress. Vitamin B-9, B-12, and Vitamin E are most recognized to act as anti-oxidative stress process. Vitamin B-9 which exist in a variety of plant foods and Vitamin B-12 that can be found exclusively in animal foods are found in some studies not all to have link in slowing cognitive decline by supplementation or dietary intake. Likewise, Morris *et al.* found that persons in the highest quintile of total Vitamin E intake had a 36% reduction in the rate of decline in cognitive score tests.<sup>[43]</sup> Vitamin E is found in a variety of green vegetables, nuts, and seed oil to have antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties.<sup>[44]</sup>

The multivariate analysis showed that low education (OR = 8.35, 95% CI: 1.32–52.83) was risk factor for cognitive impairment and PA (OR = 0.19, 95% CI: 0.06–0.54) was a protective factor. Mohamad *et al.* also found that education was risk factor for CI in multivariate analysis.<sup>[6]</sup> In fact, it is not still clear whether education affects cognitive function or cognitive score of MMSE, further study taking into account education, gender, and age in cutoff classification is needed. PA induces structural and functional changes in the brain that could have biological and psychological benefits.<sup>[45]</sup> Lochbaum *et al.* have demonstrated that aerobically trained or active participants performed significantly better on the fluid intelligence task than aerobically untrained or inactive participants.<sup>[46]</sup> This study has some limitation as mentioned above we did not take into account sex, age, and education different in cutoff classification which could classed some normal illiterate persons as having CI. Furthermore, the kinds of cross-sectional study do not take the association between cognitive function and nutritional status according to time.

## CONCLUSIONS

Our study supported past literature that malnutrition is a risk factor of cognitive impairment which signified that nutritional status monitoring can prevent it's leading to AD.

## REFERENCES

1. Youssef M. Les Projections de la Population et des Ménages Entre 2014 et 2050. Site Institutionnel du Haut-Commissariat au Plan du Royaume du Maroc. 2018. Available from: [https://www.hcp.ma/Les-projections-de-la-population-et-des-menages-entre-2014-et-2050\\_a1920.html](https://www.hcp.ma/Les-projections-de-la-population-et-des-menages-entre-2014-et-2050_a1920.html).
2. Jaeger C, Cherin P. The theories of aging. *Med Longev* 2011;3:155-74.
3. Alba M, Sgrò G, Caruso C, Ceravolo F, Curinga G, Renda GF, *et al.* Relationship between cognitive impairment

- and nutritional assessment on functional status in calabrian long-term-care. *Clin Interv Aging* 2014;9:105-10.
4. Shi R, Duan J, Deng Y, Tu Q, Cao Y, Zhang M, *et al.* Nutritional status of an elderly population in Southwest China: A cross-sectional study based on comprehensive geriatric assessment. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2015;19:26-32.
  5. van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MA, Lonterman-Monasch S, de Vries OJ, Danner SA, Kramer MH, Muller M, *et al.* Prevalence and determinants for malnutrition in geriatric outpatients. *Clin Nutr* 2013;32:1007-11.
  6. Mohamad EZ, Boulos C, Amal AH, Saleh N, Awada S, Rachidi S, *et al.* Association between cognitive function and nutritional status in elderly: A cross-sectional study in three institutions of Beirut, Lebanon. *Geriatr Ment Health Care* 2013;1:73-81.
  7. Hirose T, Hasegawa J, Izawa S, Enoki H, Suzuki Y, Kuzuya M, *et al.* Accumulation of geriatric conditions is associated with poor nutritional status in dependent older people living in the community and in nursing homes. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2014;14:198-205.
  8. Raynaud-Simon A, Revel-Delhom C, Hébuterne X, French Nutrition and Health Program, French Health High Authority. Clinical practice guidelines from the french health high authority: Nutritional support strategy in protein-energy malnutrition in the elderly. *Clin Nutr* 2011;30:312-9.
  9. Marion T. Statut Nutritionnel de la Personne Agée Vivant à Domicile: Prévalence, Facteurs Associés et Conséquences. Phd Thesis, Université de Bordeaux; 2014.
  10. Laursen P. The impact of aging on cognitive functions. An 11 year follow-up study of four age cohorts. *Acta Neurol Scand Suppl* 1997;172:7-86.
  11. Larrieu S, Letenneur L, Orgogozo JM, Fabrigoule C, Amieva H, Le Carret N, *et al.* Incidence and outcome of mild cognitive impairment in a population-based prospective cohort. *Neurology* 2002;59:1594-9.
  12. Kadmiri NE, Hamzi K, Mutawakil BE, Slassi I, Nadifi S. The genetic aspects of Alzheimer's disease (Review). *Pathol Biol* 2013;61:228-38.
  13. Perrin RJ, Fagan AM, Holtzman DM. Multimodal techniques for diagnosis and prognosis of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 2009;461:916-22.
  14. Guyant-Marshall L, Champion D, Hannequin D. Genetics of Alzheimer's disease autosomal dominant forms. *Rev Neurol* 2009;165:223-31.
  15. Alexandra FS, Goizet C. Génétique et maladie d'Alzheimer. *Neurologie* 2010;2:194-6.
  16. ST George-Hyslop P. Genetic determinants of Alzheimer disease. *Prog Clin Biol Res* 1995;393:139-45.
  17. Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel D, St. George-Hyslop PH, Pericak-Vance MA, Joo SH, *et al.* Association of apolipoprotein E allele 4 with late-onset familial and sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1993;43:1467-7.
  18. Lamour Y. Physiopathologie de la maladie d'Alzheimer. Incidence and outcome of mild cognitive impairment in a population-based prospective cohort. *Neurology* 2002;59:1594-99.
  19. Spaccavento S, Del Prete M, Craca A, Fiore P. Influence of nutritional status on cognitive, functional and neuropsychiatric deficits in Alzheimer's disease. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr* 2009;48:356-60.
  20. Solfrizzi V, Panza F, Frisardi V, Seripa D, Logroscino G, Imbimbo BP, *et al.* Diet and Alzheimer's disease risk factors or prevention: The current evidence. *Expert Rev Neurother* 2011;11:677-708.
  21. Anthony JC, LeResche L, Niaz U, von Korff MR, Folstein MF. Limits of the 'mini-mental state' as a screening test for dementia and delirium among hospital patients. *Psychol Med* 1982;12:397-408.
  22. Derouesné C, Poitreneau J, Hugonot L, Kalafat M, Dubois B, Laurent B. Le Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE): Un Outil Pratique Pour L'évaluation de L'état Cognitif des Patients par le Clinicien; 1999. p. 8.
  23. Li Y, Fang X, Zhao WG, Chen Y, Hu SL. A risk factor analysis of cognitive impairment in elderly patients with chronic diseases in a Chinese population. *Med Sci Monit* 2017;23:4549-58.
  24. Shan H, Cao L, Yang X, Wang H, Liu P, Hao Q, Dong B. *et al.* Association between nutrition status and cognitive impairment among Chinese nonagenarians and centenarians. *Int J Gerontol* 2017;11:215-19.
  25. Guigoz Y, Vellas B, Garry PJ. Assessing the nutritional status of the elderly: The mini nutritional assessment as part of the geriatric evaluation. *Nutr Rev* 1996;54:S59-65.
  26. Kondrup J, Allison SP, Elia M, Vellas B, Plauth M, Educational and Clinical Practice Committee, European Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ESPEN). *et al.* ESPEN guidelines for nutrition screening 2002. *Clin Nutr* 2003;22:415-21.
  27. Guigoz Y, Vellas B, Garry PJ. Facts, research and intervention in gerontology. In: *The Mini Nutritional Assessment: A Practical Assessment Tool for Grading the Nutritional State of Elderly Persons*. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Paris: Serdi Publishing Company; 1997. p. 15-60.
  28. Kurkcu M, Meijer RI, Lonterman S, Muller M, de van der Schueren MA. The association between nutritional status and frailty characteristics among geriatric outpatients. *Clin Nutr ESPEN* 2018;23:112-6.
  29. Timothy A, Bull F. Development of the World Health Organization global physical activity questionnaire. *J Public Health* 2006;14:66-70. Zdenek H, Sigmundová D, Kalman M, Pavelka J, Sigmund E. Physical activity and sedentary behaviour in Czech adults: Results from the GPAQ study. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2014;14:193-8.
  30. Bae S, Shimada H, Park H, Lee S, Makizako H, Doi T, *et al.* Association between body composition parameters and risk of mild cognitive impairment in older Japanese adults. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2017;17:2053-9.
  31. Hamrik Z, Sigmundová D, Kalman M, Pavelka J, Sigmund E. Physical activity and sedentary behaviour in Czech adults: Results from the GPAQ study. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2014;14:193-8.
  32. Muscari A, Spiller I, Bianchi G, Fabbri E, Forti P, Magalotti D, *et al.* Predictors of cognitive impairment assessed by mini mental state examination in community-dwelling older adults: Relevance of the step test. *Exp Gerontol* 2018;108:69-76.
  33. Noh HM, Oh S, Song HJ, Lee EY, Jeong JY, Ryu OH, *et al.* Relationships between cognitive function and body composition among community-dwelling older adults: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Geriatr* 2017;17:259.
  34. Saghafi-Asl M, Vaghef-Mehrabany E. Comprehensive comparison of malnutrition and its associated factors between nursing home and community dwelling elderly: A case-control study from Northwestern Iran. *Clin Nutr ESPEN* 2017;21:51-8.



35. McGee M, Jensen GL. Nutrition in the elderly. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2000;30:372-80.
36. Sjøgren A, Osterberg T, Steen B. Intake of energy, nutrients and food items in a ten-year cohort comparison and in a six-year longitudinal perspective: A population study of 70- and 76-year-old Swedish people. *Age Ageing* 1994;23:108-12.
37. O'Brien JS, Sampson EL. Lipid composition of the normal human brain: Gray matter, white matter, and myelin. *J Lipid Res* 1965;6:537-44.
38. Solfrizzi V, Panza F, Torres F, Mastroianni F, Del Parigi A, Venezia A, *et al.* High monounsaturated fatty acids intake protects against age-related cognitive decline. *Neurology* 1999;52:1563-9.
39. Naqvi AZ, Harty B, Mukamal KJ, Stoddard AM, Vitolins M, Dunn JE, *et al.* Monounsaturated, trans, and saturated fatty acids and cognitive decline in women. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2011;59:837-43.
40. Baierle M, Vencato PH, Oldenburg L, Bordignon S, Zibetti M, Trentini CM, *et al.* Fatty acid status and its relationship to cognitive decline and homocysteine levels in the elderly. *Nutrients* 2014;6:3624-40.
41. Yacong B, Zhang X, Wang Y, You J, Cui H, Zhu Y, *et al.* The N-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids supplementation improved the cognitive function in the Chinese elderly with mild cognitive impairment: A double-blind randomized controlled trial. *Nutrients* 2017;9:54.
42. Bélanger M, Allaman I, Magistretti PJ. Brain energy metabolism: Focus on astrocyte-neuron metabolic cooperation. *Cell Metab* 2011;14:724-38.
43. Morris MC, Evans DA, Bienias JL, Tangney CC, Wilson RS. Vitamin E and cognitive decline in older persons. *Arch Neurol* 2002;59:1125-32.
44. Oyarce K, Bongarzone ER, Nualart F. Unconventional neurogenic niches and neurogenesis modulation by Vitamins. *J Stem Cell Res Ther* 2014;4:184.
45. Mandolesi L, Polverino A, Montuori S, Foti F, Ferraioli G, Sorrentino P, *et al.* Effects of physical exercise on cognitive functioning and wellbeing: Biological and psychological benefits. *Front Psychol* 2018;9:509.
46. Lochbaum MR, Karoly P, Landers DM. Evidence for the importance of openness to experience on performance of a fluid intelligence task by physically active and inactive participants. *Res Q Exerc Sport* 2002;73:437-44.

**How to cite this article:** Talhaoui A, Aboussaleh Y, Ahami AOT, Sbaibi R, Agoutim N. Association between Malnutrition and Cognitive Impairment among Morocco Older Adults. *Clin J Nutr Diet* 2018;1(2):1-9.