

Latent Class Analysis of Adolescent Health Behaviors

Molly Jacobs

Department of Health Sciences Information and Management, East Carolina University, 600 Moye Blvd. Mail Stop 668, Health Sciences Building 4340E, Greenville, NC 27834

ABSTRACT

Background: Behavior is one of the most important components in health. While the impacts of adolescent risky activities have been studied extensively, less attention has been paid to health. This study examines the patterning of health behaviors among adolescents age of 10–19 years. **Methods:** Latent class analysis identified homogeneous, mutually exclusive “classes” (patterns) of eight, leading health behaviors - sleep, alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, physicians’ visits, meal autonomy, wearing braces, general health assessment, and having a permanent tattoo. **Results:** Resulting classes include (1) healthy, (2) moderately healthy, and (3) unhealthy. The characteristic behaviors and tendencies of each class differed by gender. **Conclusion:** This study attempts to classify adolescents by their own health behavior without including parental attributes. While adolescents do not typically prescribe to predictable behaviors and actions, the emphasis on healthy behaviors by some suggests an individual awareness of behavioral impacts and importance of healthy lifestyle choices.

Key words: Adolescence, behavior, health, latent class, urban

INTRODUCTION

Behavior is one of the most important components in health. Behavioral factors play a role in each of the twelve leading causes of death, including heart disease, cancer, and stroke. Behaviors such as the use of alcohol, tobacco, firearms, and motor vehicles; diet and activity patterns; sexual behavior; and illicit use of drugs can impact a variety of physical and emotional health factors. The impacts of these behaviors among adolescents have been studied extensively. The impacts of sleep, diet, cigarettes, drugs, and alcohol on adolescent health are clearly defined. However, much less attention has been paid to the coincidence of health behaviors. Much research has been devoted to studying the types of behaviors, but few have analyzed the trends of classes of behaviors. This study characterizes behavioral health classes among youth and adolescent, based on their actions, tendencies, and lifestyle choices.

According to the World Health Organization, adolescence begins with the onset of physiologically normal puberty

and ends when an adult identity and behavior are accepted. This period of development corresponds roughly to the period between the ages of 10 and 19 years.^[1] During this time, individuals establish enduring health behaviors, independence, and patterns.^[2] This is the time to form positive habits that will improve adolescents’ long-term health. Nutrition, exercise, and sleep are primarily important to support health into adulthood.^[3-5] Many of the behaviors formed and lifestyle choices made in adolescence have lasting implications.^[6] Thus, there is a definite need to investigate the health behaviors of adolescents as it is important to characterize and identify healthy behavior patterns in youth as they carry into adulthood.

However, research illustrates that emerging adulthood is an age characterized by high rates of health risks such as unhealthy weight control behaviors, stress, sleep insufficiency, and mental health issues.^[7-10] This age range has been documented as one of excessive weight gain often caused by a decrease in diet quality and physical activity.^[11-13]

Address for correspondence:

Molly Jacobs, Department of Health Sciences Information and Management, East Carolina University, 600 Moye Blvd. Mail Stop 668, Health Sciences Building 4340E, Greenville, NC 27834. E-mail: jacobsm17@ecu.edu

© 2019 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.

Many of these health issues are preventable. In fact, nearly all the CDCs top 10 public health problems could be prevented with appropriate behavior and lifestyle modification.^[14-16]

Health-related behaviors among adolescence have been studied from the concept of risk and the health consequences of risky behaviors. Risky behaviors such as smoking, alcohol consumption and unprotected intercourse is associated with increase risk of low educational attainment, future morbidity and premature mortality,^[17-22] and the harm that they cause is well-known and is associated with increased risk of poor educational attainment, future morbidity and premature mortality.^[23]

Extensive analysis outlines adolescent behavior involving risk, substance use, and sexual activity.^[8,24] Authors have examined the link between adolescent behaviors and their parents, peers, and educational environments^[18-20,25] highlighting the interaction and prevalence of risk and protective behaviors in adolescents and adults with a focus on unhealthy diet, cigarette smoking, substance abuse, and engaging in risky sexual behaviors in contrast with protective behaviors.

However, adolescents' orientation toward own health-promoting behaviors has not been thoroughly examined. Connell *et al.*^[18] used latent class analysis (LCA) to assess the cooccurrence of adolescent substance use and sexual behavior but failed to use health-promoting behaviors along with risky behaviors. Burke *et al.*^[17] showed that smoking was a primary indicator of health-related behaviors but used only 18-year-old Australians rather than formidable adolescents, while Laska *et al.*^[24] focused on college students. Others have specifically focused on aspects of health such as physical activity,^[26] parental characteristics,^[27] or self-injurious actions.^[28] Fewer studies have taken a person-centered approach to investigate the associations between health behaviors to identify potential behavioral typologies among adolescents. None have examined classes of health behaviors among young, adolescent males and females while determining those associated demographic covariates.

This analysis differs from others in that it examines a nationally representative group of adolescents age of 10–19 years, focusing on health-related behaviors. Using eight different areas of health-enhancing behaviors, this study analyzes intrinsic individual motivation toward health as expressed by these behaviors. Differing from other similar analyses, this study focuses on those behaviors of the adolescents themselves, rather than including parental practices and behaviors. The present paper examines cigarette smoking, general health rating, alcohol consumption, meal autonomy, sleep patterns, wearing braces, exercise habits, routine physical check-ups, and presence of permanent body tattoos to characterize health behavior.^[29,30] First, how alcohol, smoking tattoos, meal autonomy, sleep, wearing braces,

general health rating, physicians' visits, and exercise are correlated among adolescents are examined. Second, three homogeneous, mutually exclusive behavioral typologies within the data are identified. Third, the extent to which age contributes to class membership is determined. Finally, the race, gender, and residential composition of each class are characterized.

This paper proceeds in the following order. Section II offers a description of the data, key variables of interest, and analysis techniques. Then, Section III presents the estimation results followed by a discussion of the findings and broader implications.

METHODS AND DATA

Data from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Add Health) - a nationally representative sample of adolescents age of 10–19 years old - assess the classification of health behaviors. Add Health was created to help research the causes of adolescent health and health behavior with a special emphasis on the effects of multiple contexts of adolescent life (Harris). Add Health was collected in four waves, but only information from Waves I and II collected in 1994–1995 and 1996 are utilized in this analysis. Respondents were surveyed in their homes to collect data on respondents' social, economic, psychological, and physical well-being with contextual data on the family, neighborhood, community, school, and relationships, providing unique opportunities to study how social environments and behaviors are linked to health outcomes.

Eight primary health behaviors are used to analyze typologies: Frequency of alcohol use, cigarette smoking, general health rating, food choice autonomy, wearing braces, tattoos, sleep, meal autonomy, exercise, and physicians' visit. For the present investigation, a single dichotomous variable constructed for each behavior. The behavioral items and their classifications are presented below. Demographic information was elicited through the standardized Add Health items of age, race, ethnicity, household size, and rural environment.

| Behavior | Context |
|-------------------|---|
| Alcohol | 1=Consumes alcohol 2–3 days per month or less 2=Consumes alcohol 1 or 2 times per week or more frequently |
| Cigarette smoking | 1=Has tried smoking a cigarette, at least 1 or 2 puffs 2=Has never tried smoking a cigarette, not even just 1 or 2 puffs |

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| Sleep | 1=Usually gets enough sleep 2=Does not usually get enough sleep |
| Exercise | 1=During the past week, exercised 3 times or more (exercises such as jogging, walking, karate, jumping rope, gymnastics, or dancing) 2=During the past week, exercised two or fewer times |
| Tattoos | 1=Has a permanent tattoo 2=Does not have a permanent tattoo |
| Autonomy | 1=Parents let you make your own decisions about what you eat 2=Parents do not let you make your own decisions about what you eat |
| Physicians visits | 1=Has had a routine physical examination in the past year 2=Has not had a routine physical examination in the past year |
| Braces | 1=Has worn or is wearing braces 2=Has not worn and is not wearing braces |
| General health | 1=General health is excellent, very good, or good health 2=General health is fair or poor health |

Table 1: Add health Wave I respondent data

| Covariate | n | Mean | SD | Min. | Max. |
|-------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|
| Male | | | | | |
| Age | 2.247 | 14.84 | 1.74 | 10 | 19 |
| Household size | 2.161 | 4.31 | 1.15 | 1 | 6 |
| Black | 2.161 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 |
| Hispanic | 2.161 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0 | 1 |
| Household smokers | 2.742 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| Rural | 934 | 28.45 | | | |
| Suburban | 1.176 | 35.82 | | | |
| Urban | 1.173 | 35.73 | | | |
| Female | | | | | |
| Age | 2.485 | 14.68 | 1.72 | 11 | 19 |
| Household size | 2.435 | 4.33 | 1.14 | 1 | 6 |
| Black | 2.435 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 |
| Hispanic | 2.435 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0 | 1 |
| Household smokers | 2.888 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| Rural | 672 | 27.35 | | | |
| Suburban | 988 | 40.21 | | | |
| Urban | 797 | 32.44 | | | |

Mean values of demographic variables are presented in Table 1. Age was translated into three groups - young adolescents (age 10–12), middle age adolescents (age 13–15), and older adolescents (age 16–19). Households are on average composed of four individuals but range from 1 to 6. 20% of the sample is black and 10-12% is hispanic. 30% of respondents reside in rural areas and nearly half, 45%, contain at least one cigarette smoker. Over 80% of male and female respondents make their own decisions about food rather than relying on their parents to arrange meals - a measure of adolescent autonomy.

Behavioral category response frequencies for the health behaviors listed above are found in Table 2. Values are presented separately for males and females in Waves I and II. Responses follow the expected trajectories. More individuals consume alcohol regularly, smoke cigarettes, and have permanent tattoos while fewer individuals exercise, get sufficient sleep, and have had a routine annual examination. Males and females show only minor difference in their response frequencies and behavior patterns.

LCA is a statistical tool used to identify homogeneous, mutually exclusive groups (or “classes”) that exist within a heterogeneous population. Numerous examples of its application, particularly in identifying latent behavioral

patterns, have been recently published.^[31-35] In this research, I hypothesized individuals have an underlying, intrinsic desire to be healthy. This health motivation varies among individuals and cannot be directly observed. However, it manifests in meaningful patterns of a wide range of health behaviors (i.e., sleep, physicians visits, cigarette smoking, etc.). I sought to identify the underlying motivation for health based on responses to eight specified health-impacting behaviors using LCA.

The selection of the variables included in these analyses was based on previous literature, suggesting that willingness to work toward a healthy lifestyle was characterized by actions that either directly or indirectly impact physical health, as well as the availability of data within this existing data source. To study the underlying structure of these data, a series of LCA models were fit and examined. Like previous applications of these LCA methods, dichotomous variable specifications were selected for the final analysis to enhance the interpretability of the findings.

Using SAS version 9.4 (Release 9.4. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.), the PROC LCA command procedure was used to estimate model parameters.^[36] PROC LCA produces maximum likelihood estimates for parameters using the EM algorithm. Missing data on individual survey items are handled within the EM algorithm and are assumed to be missing at random.^[36]

Table 2: Add Health behavioral categories

| Covariate | Wave I n (%) | | Wave II n (%) | |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | | Male | |
| Alcohol | Missing | 1396 | Missing | 2052 |
| | 1 | 1121 (64.02) | 1 | 582 (53.15) |
| | 2 | 630 (35.98) | 2 | 513 (46.85) |
| Exercise | Missing | 3 | Missing | 833 |
| | 1 | 1614 (51.34) | 1 | 1185 (51.21) |
| | 2 | 1530 (48.66) | 2 | 1129 (48.79) |
| Cigarette smoking | Missing | 41 | Missing | 522 |
| | 1 | 1372 (44.17) | 1 | 1806 (68.8) |
| | 2 | 1734 (55.83) | 2 | 819 (31.2) |
| Sleep | Missing | 6 | Missing | 833 |
| | 1 | 2396 (76.28) | 1 | 1736 (75.02) |
| | 2 | 745 (23.72) | 2 | 578 (24.98) |
| Physical examination | Missing | 14 | Missing | 837 |
| | 1 | 2124 (67.79) | 1 | 1501 (64.98) |
| | 2 | 1009 (32.21) | 2 | 809 (35.02) |
| Tattoo | Missing | 6 | Missing | 772 |
| | 1 | 162 (5.16) | 1 | 246 (10.36) |
| | 2 | 2979 (94.84) | 2 | 2129 (89.64) |
| General health | Missing | 123 | Missing | 834 |
| | 1 | 2265 (74.9) | 1 | 1720 (74.36) |
| | 2 | 759 (25.1) | 2 | 593 (25.64) |
| Braces | Missing | 247 | Missing | 692 |
| | 1 | 421 (14.52) | 1 | 560 (22.81) |
| | 2 | 2479 (85.48) | 2 | 1895 (77.19) |
| Autonomy | Missing | 60 | Missing | 887 |
| | 1 | 2463 (79.79) | 1 | 1857 (82.17) |
| | 2 | 624 (20.21) | 2 | 403 (17.83) |
| Alcohol | Missing | 1562 | Missing | 2165 |
| | 1 | 1300 (72.46) | 1 | 808 (67.84) |
| | 2 | 494 (27.54) | 2 | 383 (32.16) |
| Exercise | Missing | 2 | Missing | 837 |
| | 1 | 1753 (52.27) | 1 | 1338 (53.12) |
| | 2 | 1601 (47.73) | 2 | 1181 (46.88) |
| Cigarette smoking | Missing | 13 | Missing | 508 |
| | 1 | 1491 (44.6) | 1 | 1931 (67.8) |
| | 2 | 1852 (55.4) | 2 | 917 (32.2) |
| Sleep | Missing | 5 | Missing | 838 |
| | 1 | 2376 (70.9) | 1 | 1694 (67.28) |
| | 2 | 975 (29.1) | 2 | 824 (32.72) |
| Physical examination | Missing | 12 | Missing | 841 |
| | 1 | 2216 (66.27) | 1 | 1693 (67.32) |
| | 2 | 1128 (33.73) | 2 | 822 (32.68) |

(Contd...)

Table 2: (Continued)

| Covariate | Wave I n (%) | | Wave II n (%) | |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | | Male | |
| Tattoo | Missing | 3 | Missing | 770 |
| | 1 | 142 (4.24) | 1 | 211 (8.16) |
| | 2 | 3211 (95.76) | 2 | 2375 (91.84) |
| General health | Missing | 185 | Missing | 837 |
| | 1 | 2130 (67.17) | 1 | 1637 (64.99) |
| | 2 | 1041 (32.83) | 2 | 882 (35.01) |
| Braces | Missing | 321 | Missing | 627 |
| | 1 | 643 (21.19) | 1 | 862 (31.59) |
| | 2 | 2392 (78.81) | 2 | 1867 (68.41) |
| Autonomy | Missing | 78 | Missing | 918 |
| | 1 | 2728 (83.22) | 1 | 2105 (86.34) |
| | 2 | 550 (16.78) | 2 | 333 (13.66) |

To select the appropriate number of classes and maximize model fit, a two-class model was first fit to the data and compared with successively fit models which specified an increasing number of latent classes (up to six classes). Given the known differences in prevalence and patterning of health behaviors between males and females, analyses were stratified by gender. Of the 6504 male and female survey respondents, four were missing age and gender and were excluded analyses. 500 iterations of each model were run using various randomly generated seed values to ensure that the solution was correctly identified. The resulting fit criterion values were compared across the 100 iterations; the dominant solution - the most frequently generated - was identified as the maximum likelihood solution.

Models were fit in an exploratory fashion using both statistical and theoretical endpoints. A two-class model was examined first. Next, classes were added to the model until no further statistical and theoretical improvements were observed. Model fit was evaluated empirically using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), with lower values reflecting an improved fit. The AIC criteria are a widely accepted for LCA methods.^[36,37] I examined the AIC criteria across models fit separately for males and females. The most parsimonious LCA model was retained. Next, bivariate analyses (e.g., ANOVA) were conducted to compare the classes on background (i.e., age, sex, race, rural status, autonomy, etc.) and health characteristics (i.e., alcohol, cigarettes, braces, tattoos, etc.).

Finally, Add Health survey design includes clustered observations due to multistage sampling, unequal probabilities of selection of the observations, and stratification. If these aspects of complex survey data are ignored, point estimates and standard errors may be biased, leading to incorrect

inferences. Therefore, sampling weights were used for sample to reflect adolescents who were enrolled in the US schools during the 1994–1995 academic years. Finally, respondent age is included as a covariate with Group 1 - respondents 10–12 - serving as the reference group. The inclusion of this covariate controls for the effect of age in classification and determines whether class membership varies with age.

RESULTS

The AIC comparisons of relative fit showed that three latent classes of health behavior provided optimal model fit and parsimony. Given the differences in male and female adolescent, it is possible to utilize a grouping function so that gender-specific parameters are estimated.^[36] Thus, probability estimates can vary by gender. To test whether gender-specific parameters or gender invariance were appropriate, a three-class model had been selected and two nested. Then, multigroup LCA procedures were compared, one freely estimated model and one with parameter estimation restricted across genders. Comparison of the fit statistics across the restricted and unrestricted models indicated that measurement invariance should be rejected, and gender-specific parameters should be estimated.

Table 3 shows the behavioral class sizes by gender and age. Behavioral classes displaying varying degrees of healthy behavior. Class 1, healthy, consists of respondents who adhere to the healthiest behaviors in both waves. Class 2, moderately healthy, consists of individuals who are primarily healthy but occasionally falter in their commitment to a healthy lifestyle. Class 3, the smallest group, elects less healthy lifestyle choices. 25% of females adhere to healthy behaviors, compared to only 16% of males. Furthermore, a larger percentage of males are classified as unhealthy

Table 3: Health class size and distribution by gender

| Health behavior class | Class | n (%) |
|-----------------------|-------|--------------|
| Male | | |
| Healthy | 1 | 522 (16.6) |
| Moderately healthy | 2 | 1430 (45.48) |
| Unhealthy | 3 | 1192 (37.91) |
| Female | | |
| Healthy | 1 | 792 (23.6) |
| Moderately healthy | 2 | 1465 (43.65) |
| Unhealthy | 3 | 1099 (32.75) |

compared to females. These differences justify the rejection of gender invariance discussed above.

The behavioral responses are listed in Table 4. The numbers represent the probability that an individual in the respective class responded affirmatively to the topic listed. For example, a male in the healthy class had a 99% probability of wearing or having worn braces. In addition, females in Class 1 have a high probability of drinking <2–3 times per month and a very low probability of have a tattoo. Class 3, unhealthy, is not likely to have worn braces but has a higher probability of having tried smoking cigarettes. Class 2 displays a mix of moderately healthy lifestyle and behaviors choices.

Age was added as covariates to examine its association with class membership. These associations were modeled using a logistic link function, producing a set of logistic regression coefficients that show how the covariates predict subgroup membership.^[38] These coefficients (when exponentiated and transformed into an odds ratio) are associated with an increase (or decrease) in odds of membership relative to the reference class - age 10–12 years - corresponding to a different level on the covariate. Coefficient and significance levels are listed in Table 5. These results suggest a strong age effect. As respondents get older, they display less healthy behaviors. Their representation in the healthy class diminishes while the number in the unhealthy class increases as they age. This inverse relationship between class and age suggests that independence from parents or guardians could lead to a less healthy lifestyle.

Behavioral classes of additional demographic groups are listed in Table 6 by gender. While adolescents are less likely to be healthy as they age, they are more likely to be healthy if they reside in suburban areas, compared to urban or rural. Minority race and ethnicity reduce the probability of the healthiest classes. Adolescents in households with smokers have a large representation in the unhealthy group. Household size classifications differ between males and females. For females, healthy behavior appears to diminish as household size gets larger. For males, healthy class representation is greatest among those in 3–4-person household.

However, it is important to mention several limitations that should be taken into consideration when interpreting these results. Adolescence is a very dynamic time in life when many types of changes are occurring simultaneously. It is not possible to account for all the physical, emotional, and environmental dynamics occurring during these years. Second, while adolescence is defined as the age of 10–19 years, this is a large age range. Young adolescents could potentially be quite different from older adolescents. Despite testing the impact of age through covariate inclusion, results could be difficult to generalize.

While dichotomizing behavioral variable is an approach often taken in this type of analysis and make interpretability and communication of results easier, it may obscure details within the data. Furthermore, parental traits likely play a role in adolescent behavior. This analysis does not account for the differences between parents and the impact this might have on their children. Parenting styles undoubtedly play a role in adolescent behavior, but they cannot be fully observed and controlled. Finally, as is always the case with survey data, response rates can vary among groups. While sample weights are used to mitigate variability, missing data are always a factor. Much additional research is needed to understand the lifestyles and health behaviors of these populations.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The primary aim of this study was to identify unique classes of adolescents based on their health behaviors and explore the differences in demographics between the emergent classes. Using LCA, a 3-class solution emerged as the best fitting model for both males and females. Indices of model fit were found to be acceptable, and the latent classes represented meaningful classes that provide more detail than previous investigations that rely on risky behaviors alone. Classes were identified as lying on the spectrum from health to unhealthy. Membership in the unhealthy class was surprisingly high with 30–40% of both genders subscribing to poor health-related behaviors. Demographic characteristics played a role in influencing adolescent behavior with older adolescents being less healthy.

While a very unhealthy class for both males and females was identified, the activities that dominated these classes differed. For males, making their own food choices and drinking alcohol frequently were keyed while for females, not receiving a physical examination and having a tattoo stood out as main contributors. The unhealthy class includes large segments of youth (approximately a 30–40% of males and females) that is generally follow unhealthy behavioral regimes. However, it does not appear that there is any true, homogeneous either healthy or unhealthy group. While those classified as the most healthy/unhealthy tend to generally follow these characteristic behaviors, they also diverge

Table 4: Health behavior probabilities by class

| Gender | | Healthy | → | Unhealthy |
|----------------------|---|----------------|----------|------------------|
| Male | | | | |
| Covariate | Definition | Wave I | | |
| Alcohol | Consumes alcohol 2–3 days a month or less | 0.6198 | 0.7967 | 0.5418 |
| Exercise | Exercised 3 times or more past week | 0.5318 | 0.5020 | 0.4792 |
| Smoking | Has tried smoking at least 1 or 2 puffs | 0.4040 | 0.7956 | 0.0002 |
| Sleep | Usually gets enough sleep | 0.7046 | 0.8468 | 0.6923 |
| Physical examination | Has had a routine physical examination in the past year | 0.7530 | 0.6583 | 0.6056 |
| Tattoo | Has a tattoo | 0.0379 | 0.0105 | 0.1023 |
| Autonomy | Makes own choices regarding meals | 0.8228 | 0.7524 | 0.8191 |
| Braces | Has worn or is wearing braces | 0.9986 | 0.0000 | 0.0001 |
| Health | Health is excellent, very good, or good | 0.8177 | 0.7661 | 0.6622 |
| | | Wave II | | |
| Alcohol | Consumes alcohol 2-3 days a month or less | 0.5250 | 0.6597 | 0.4346 |
| Exercise | Exercised 3 times or more past week | 0.6105 | 0.5173 | 0.4807 |
| Smoking | Has tried smoking at least 1 or 2 puffs | 0.6580 | 0.9999 | 0.1700 |
| Sleep | Usually gets enough sleep | 0.6848 | 0.8096 | 0.7225 |
| Physical examination | Has had a routine physical examination in the past year | 0.7062 | 0.6581 | 0.6037 |
| Tattoo | Has a tattoo | 0.0710 | 0.0305 | 0.1940 |
| Autonomy | Makes own choices regarding meals | 0.8536 | 0.7880 | 0.8304 |
| Braces | Has worn or is wearing braces | 0.9995 | 0.0378 | 0.0190 |
| Health | Health is excellent, very good, or good | 0.8033 | 0.7852 | 0.6338 |
| Female | | | | |
| | | Wave I | | |
| Alcohol | Consumes alcohol 2–3 days a month or less | 0.6631 | 0.8761 | 0.6548 |
| Exercise | Exercised 3 times or more past week | 0.5019 | 0.5019 | 0.4997 |
| Smoking | Has tried smoking at least 1 or 2 puffs | 0.3994 | 0.7877 | 0.0002 |
| Sleep | Usually gets enough sleep | 0.6756 | 0.7827 | 0.6599 |
| Physical examination | Has had a routine physical examination in the past year | 0.6922 | 0.6282 | 0.6360 |
| Tattoo | Has a tattoo | 0.0331 | 0.0078 | 0.0937 |
| Autonomy | Makes own choices regarding meals | 0.8669 | 0.7875 | 0.8532 |
| Braces | Has worn or is wearing braces | 0.9978 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Health | Health is excellent, very good, or good | 0.7115 | 0.7038 | 0.5698 |
| | | Wave II | | |
| Alcohol | Consumes alcohol 2–3 days a month or less | 0.6369 | 0.8084 | 0.6169 |
| Exercise | Exercised 3 times or more past week | 0.4520 | 0.5749 | 0.5273 |
| Smoking | Has tried smoking at least 1 or 2 puffs | 0.6163 | 0.9999 | 0.1254 |
| Sleep | Usually gets enough sleep | 0.6535 | 0.7568 | 0.5928 |
| Physical examination | Has had a routine physical examination in the past year | 0.7013 | 0.6303 | 0.6564 |
| Tattoo | Has a tattoo | 0.0620 | 0.0179 | 0.1728 |

(Contd...)

Table 4: (Continued)

| Gender | | Healthy | → | Unhealthy |
|----------|---|---------|--------|-----------|
| Autonomy | Makes own choices regarding meals | 0.8921 | 0.8174 | 0.8932 |
| Braces | Has worn or is wearing braces | 0.9997 | 0.0628 | 0.0321 |
| Health | Health is excellent, very good, or good | 0.7057 | 0.6750 | 0.5282 |

Table 5: LCA covariate significance tests

| Covariate | Exclusion LL | Change in 2*LL | Deg. freedom | P value |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| Age | -49829.42 | 47.6 | 4 | 0.000 |

Table 6: Latent class demographic composition

| Covariate | Latent classes | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------|-------------|
| | Healthy 1 | → 2 | Unhealthy 3 |
| Male | | | |
| Black | 6.35 | 59.97 | 33.68 |
| Hispanic | 8.94 | 49.72 | 41.34 |
| Rural | 16.05 | 43.6 | 40.35 |
| Suburban | 21.94 | 43.19 | 34.88 |
| Urban | 11.26 | 49.91 | 38.84 |
| Household smoker | 12.87 | 43.08 | 44.05 |
| Age | | | |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 11 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| 12 | 8.1 | 70 | 21.9 |
| 13 | 13.29 | 60.13 | 26.58 |
| 14 | 15.33 | 51.01 | 33.67 |
| 15 | 15.71 | 48.1 | 36.19 |
| 16 | 22.38 | 38.33 | 39.29 |
| 17 | 24.3 | 28.49 | 47.21 |
| 18 | 20 | 27.27 | 52.73 |
| 19 | 4.17 | 33.33 | 62.5 |
| Household size | | | |
| 1 | 5.88 | 29.41 | 64.71 |
| 2 | 13.33 | 40.83 | 45.83 |
| 3 | 20.95 | 38.65 | 40.4 |
| 4 | 19.81 | 50.07 | 30.12 |
| 5 | 15.64 | 47.1 | 37.26 |
| 6 | 13.62 | 48.5 | 37.87 |
| Female | | | |
| Black | 7.45 | 65.6 | 26.95 |
| Hispanic | 16.36 | 51.95 | 31.69 |
| Rural | 20.56 | 42.18 | 37.26 |

(Contd...)

Table 6: (Continued)

| Covariate | Latent classes | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------|-------------|
| | Healthy 1 | → 2 | Unhealthy 3 |
| Suburban | 31.21 | 39.88 | 28.91 |
| Urban | 17.65 | 49.19 | 33.16 |
| Household smoker | 19.31 | 39 | 41.69 |
| Age | | | |
| 11 | 22.22 | 77.78 | 0 |
| 12 | 18.75 | 60.16 | 21.09 |
| 13 | 17.48 | 55.78 | 26.74 |
| 14 | 21.09 | 43.48 | 35.43 |
| 15 | 24.22 | 40.58 | 35.2 |
| 16 | 25.68 | 41.36 | 32.95 |
| 17 | 29.87 | 34.55 | 35.58 |
| 18 | 30.34 | 29.21 | 40.45 |
| 19 | 18.18 | 54.55 | 27.27 |
| Household size | | | |
| 1 | 28.57 | 57.14 | 14.29 |
| 2 | 17.65 | 47.06 | 35.29 |
| 3 | 27.94 | 37.92 | 34.15 |
| 4 | 26.48 | 43.29 | 30.23 |
| 5 | 23.4 | 46.62 | 29.98 |
| 6 | 14.76 | 49.12 | 36.12 |

frequently. No single class is truly uniform in their behavioral patterns.

Since this study is the first of its kind to assess adolescent health behaviors using LCA, it is difficult to directly compare the results to other studies. However, a few studies have used cluster analysis to classify adolescents based on their risky or self-injurious behaviors. Laska *et al.*^[24] described patterns behaviors and lifestyle characteristics among college youth. They linked an array of factors such as diet, physical activity, stress, sleep, tobacco use, high-risk alcohol use, and risky sexual behaviors. They found four distinct groups among males and females but showed that class membership by gender was not equivalent. Connell *et al.*^[18] characterized four classes of substance use and sexual risk behaviors for high school youth. These classes did not vary by gender and were closely related. Finally, Klonsky

and Olino^[28] used LCA to identify four subgroups of self-injurers when comparing measures of depression, anxiety, borderline personality disorder, and suicidality.

The current study has several limitations that should be noted. First, this analysis did not distinguish voluntary behaviors from parental induced or encouraged behaviors. For example, adolescents might not have chosen to wear braces and undergo orthodontic care if left up to their own discretion; however, parental encouragement and facilitation of orthodontic work could have resulted in braces. In addition, since the probability of membership in a class did not equal 1 for everyone, there is some uncertainty associated with assigning individuals to their respective latent class. Since this uncertainty was not modeled in the multinomial logistic regression, it is important that the results be interpreted as such. Nonetheless, these analyses have shown the merits of LCA for identifying and describing groups of adolescents based on their health-related behaviors and have provided potential contextual explanations for the patterns observed.

The strengths of this study include the relatively generous size of the sample, which includes an even distribution of males and females that span ages of 10–19 years. A variety of health-related behaviors were measured covering many aspects of health including receipt of care, substance use, and personal habits. In addition, while cross-sectional analyses are typically viewed as a limitation in observational research; in LCA, the latent variable is assumed to be static or unchanging making the use of two waves of cross-sectional data appropriate. The categorization and characterization of adolescent behavior provide information important for the development of intervention and education strategies. Given the significant concern regarding the high prevalence risky behaviors, obesity, and sleep deficiency youth, these findings may be useful as part of the empirical basis in the guidance and planning of tailored interventions based on patterns of behaviors.

This study was the first to attempt to classify adolescents by their own health behavior without including parental outcomes or attributes. While adolescents do not typically prescribe to predictable behaviors and actions, the emphasis on healthy behaviors by some groups suggests an individual awareness of behavioral impacts and importance of healthy lifestyle choices. These findings have important implications for targeting much-needed health promotion strategies among adolescents themselves. Since adolescents' age of 10–12 years displayed healthier behaviors than those 16–19 years, motivating or fostering the continuation of healthy practices as youth age appears important. Adolescence is the time when diet, physical activity, sedentary behavior and psychological 'health' is established. The changes that occur during these years can determine the trajectory of personal health over the lifespan.^[39,40]

REFERENCES

1. Ferrari A, Aricò M, Dini G, Rondelli R, Porta F. Upper age limits for accessing pediatric oncology centers in Italy: A barrier preventing adolescents with cancer from entering national cooperative AIEOP trials. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 2012;29:55-61.
2. Gardner B, Lally P, Wardle J. Making health habitual: The psychology of 'habit-formation' and general practice. *Br J Gen Pract* 2012;62:664-6.
3. Perry GS, Patil SP, Presley-Cantrell LR. Raising awareness of sleep as a healthy behavior. *Prev Chronic Dis* 2013;10:E133.
4. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans Midcourse Report: Strategies to Increase Physical Activity Among Youth. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2012.
5. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee. Scientific Report of the 2015 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture; 2015.
6. Hale DR, Viner RM. Policy responses to multiple risk behaviours in adolescents. *J Public Health (Oxf)* 2012;34 Suppl 1:i11-9.
7. American College Health Association. American college health association national college health assessment spring 2006 reference group data report (abridged). *J Am Coll Health* 2007;55:195-206.
8. Nelson MC, Lust K, Story M, Ehlinger E. Credit card debt, stress and key health risk behaviors among college students. *Am J Health Promot* 2008;22:400-7.
9. Nelson MC, Story M, Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Lytle LA. Emerging adulthood and college-aged youth: An overlooked age for weight-related behavior change. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2008;16:2205-11.
10. Voelker R. Stress, sleep loss, and substance abuse create potent recipe for college depression. *JAMA* 2004;291:2177-9.
11. Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M. Trends in adolescent fruit and vegetable consumption, 1999-2004: Project EAT. *Am J Prev Med* 2007;32:147-50.
12. Nelson MC, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Sirard JR, Story M. Longitudinal and secular trends in physical activity and sedentary behavior during adolescence. *Pediatrics* 2006;118:e1627-34.
13. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, McDowell MA, Tabak CJ, Flegal KM, *et al.* Prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States, 1999-2004. *JAMA* 2006;295:1549-55.
14. Anand P, Kunnumakkara AB, Sundaram C, Harikumar KB, Tharakan ST, Lai OS, *et al.* Cancer is a preventable disease that requires major lifestyle changes. *Pharm Res* 2008;25:2097-116.
15. Kelly MP, Barker M. Why is changing health-related behaviour so difficult? *Public Health* 2016;136:109-16.
16. Center for Disease Control and Prevention, US Department of Health and Human Services. Prevention Status Reports: National Summary. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2016.
17. Burke V, Milligan RA, Beilin LJ, Dunbar D, Spencer M, Balde E, *et al.* Clustering of health-related behaviors among 18-year-old Australians. *Prev Med* 1997;26:724-33.
18. Connell CM, Gilreath TD, Hansen NB. A multiprocess latent

- class analysis of the co-occurrence of substance use and sexual risk behavior among adolescents. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs* 2009;70:943-51.
19. DuRant RH, Smith JA, Kreiter SR, Krowchuk DP. The relationship between early age of onset of initial substance use and engaging in multiple health risk behaviors among young adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1999;153:286-91.
 20. Ellickson PL, Tucker JS, Klein DJ. High-risk behaviors associated with early smoking: Results from a 5-year follow-up. *J Adolesc Health* 2001;28:465-73.
 21. van Nieuwenhuijzen M, Junger M, Velderman MK, Wiefferink KH, Paulussen TW, Hox J, *et al.* Clustering of health-compromising behavior and delinquency in adolescents and adults in the Dutch population. *Prev Med* 2009;48:572-8.
 22. Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000;32:963-75.
 23. Biglan A, Brennan PA, Foster SL, Holder HD, Miller TR, Cunningham P, *et al.* *Helping Adolescents at Risk: Prevention of Multiple Problem Behaviors*. New York: Guilford Press; 2005.
 24. Laska MN, Pasch KE, Lust K, Story M, Ehlinger E. Latent class analysis of lifestyle characteristics and health risk behaviors among college youth. *Prev Sci* 2009;10:376-86.
 25. Kipping RR, Campbell RM, MacArthur GJ, Gunnell DJ, Hickman M. Multiple risk behaviour in adolescence. *J Public Health (Oxf)* 2012;34 Suppl 1:i1-2.
 26. Patnode CD, Lytle LA, Erickson DJ, Sirard JR, Barr-Anderson DJ, Story M, *et al.* Physical activity and sedentary activity patterns among children and adolescents: A latent class analysis approach. *J Phys Act Health* 2011;8:457-67.
 27. Berge JM, Wall M, Bauer KW, Neumark-Sztainer D. Parenting characteristics in the home environment and adolescent overweight: A latent class analysis. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2010;18:818-25.
 28. Klonsky ED, Olino TM. Identifying clinically distinct subgroups of self-injurers among young adults: A latent class analysis. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2008;76:22-7.
 29. Jessor R. *Psychosocial Risk and Adolescent Lifestyle: Implications for Young Adulthood*. Paper Presented at John B. Hawley Lecture Series on Health Promotion in University of Minnesota; 1985.
 30. Sobel ME. *Lifestyle and Social Structure: Concepts, Definitions, Analyses*. New York: Academic Press; 1982.
 31. Adamson G, Murphy S, Shevlin M, Buckle P, Stubbs D. Profiling schoolchildren in pain and associated demographic and behavioural factors: A latent class approach. *Pain* 2007;129:295-303.
 32. Agrawal A, Lynskey MT, Madden PA, Bucholz KK, Heath AC. A latent class analysis of illicit drug abuse/dependence: Results from the national epidemiological survey on alcohol and related conditions. *Addiction* 2007;102:94-104.
 33. Dierker LC, Vesel F, Sledjeski EM, Costello D, Perrine N. Testing the dual pathway hypothesis to substance use in adolescence and young adulthood. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2007;87:83-93.
 34. Padmadas SS, Dias JG, Willekens FJ. Disentangling women's responses on complex dietary intake patterns from an Indian cross-sectional survey: A latent class analysis. *Public Health Nutr* 2006;9:204-11.
 35. Reboussin BA, Song EY, Shrestha A, Lohman KK, Wolfson M. A latent class analysis of underage problem drinking: Evidence from a community sample of 16-20 year olds. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2006;83:199-209.
 36. Lanza ST, Collins LM, Lemmon DR, Schafer JL. PROC LCA: A SAS procedure for latent class analysis. *Struct Equ Modeling* 2007;14:671-94.
 37. Yang C. Evaluating latent class analysis models in qualitative phenotype identification. *Comput Stat Data Anal* 2006;50:1090-104.
 38. Dayton C, Macready G. Concomitant-variable latent-class models. *J Am Stat Assoc* 1988;83:173-8.
 39. Alberga AS, Sigal RJ, Goldfield G, Prud'homme D, Kenny GP. Overweight and obese teenagers: Why is adolescence a critical period? *Pediatr Obes* 2012;7:261-73.
 40. Hallal PC, Victora CG, Azevedo MR, Wells JC. Adolescent physical activity and health: A systematic review. *Sports Med* 2006;36:1019-30.

How to cite this article: Jacobs M. Latent Class Analysis of Adolescent Health Behaviors. *J Community Prev Med* 2019;2(1):1-10.